## The Good News.

"Fear not: for, behold I bring you good tidings of great joy, which shall be to all people,"

VOL. II.

BELLEVILLE, ONT., OCTOBER, 1887

2.—That Jesus has begotter Son.
3.—That the Holy Spirit is an agency proceeding from the Father and permeates all things according to God's will; and by which He accomplished His numberless and mighty

He accomplished His numberless and mighty works.

4.—That without the Son of God, humanity would have no chance whatever for future life; but would become "as though they had not been."

5.—That man is mortal—wholly—"spirit and soul and body,"

6.—That our Lord and Saviour died that we might have life—eternal.

7.—That He was buried "and was raised the third day for our justification;" and new attent at the right hand of God in heaven.

8.—That He will come again, without sin offering, unto salvation, or to save.

2.—That eternal life will be bestowed only on the righteous; "in the age to come."

10.—That he future everlasting abode of the righteous will be on this earth; restored to its Edenic state.

21.—That lesus as the heir of David's

12.—That the promises made by God to Abraham and his seed will be fulfilled in the acting-up of tie Kingdom of God on earth. 13.—That the only hope of the everlasting welfare of humanity is the establishment of God's Kingdom cu earth.

Terms of Subscription.
Subscriptions must be paid strictly in advance.
One copy for a year, or twelve numbers, \$1 on his wind, and his seed will be faithfully applied to the surfaces to A. Robinson, Bookseller &c., Belleville, Ont., Canada.
Any one wishing to make donations for the arthoned circulation of the paper can do so, and, the money will be faithfully applied to that purpose.
We do not wish it understood that we endorse every expression that may be used in articles clipped from other publications. The same will apply to articles written by others for this paper.

1.—That there is but one Living God.
2.—That Jesus the Messiah is His only system Son.
3.—That the Holy Spirit is an agency proceeding from the Father and permeates all things according to God swill, and by which it second in the second point of the surface of the second point of the sec

dig has been added to or taken away in the control of the street of the control o

Land the section of any local section of the sectio

It is well known with what scrupulous care the Jews guarded their sacred books, even so much as to count the number of letters in them; bearing this in mind, and also the fact that the Sacred Canon hadbeen finally and definitions.

THE COURT DEEPS

THE COURT IN THE COURT OF THE COURT IN T

man shall sit in the throne of his glory, ye shall sit upon twelve thrones judging (or ruling) the twelve tribes of Istruel. And every one that hat forsake on houses, or brethren, or sisters, or father, or mother, or wife, or children, or lapds, for my name's sake, shall inherit everlasting life."—Matt. 19:28, 29. From these Scripture quotations, it is evigent that David's throne, which is to be occupied by Jesus forever, is to be the throne of his glory; for if he sits on his father David's throne, or ever, and of his kingdom there shall be noged, he cannot therefore sit on any other throne. Now, David's throne, all must admit, was on earth, and in Jerusalem, Palestine, or the land of Canaan, that land which was promised Abraham and his seed (Christ and all those in him by faith—Gal. 3:16, 24-29). As the time of the fulfillment of the promises to the righteous are so closely connected with the reign of Christ on the throne of his glory, it is of great interest to know when he shall sit in the throne of his glory, it is of great interest to know when he shall sit in the throne of his glory. We have the answer to this momentous question by Christ himself, in Matt. 25:31, as follows: "When the Son of man shall come in his glory, and all the holy angels with him, THEN shall he sit upon the throne of his glory." This precludes the idea that Jesus is now sitting upon the throne of his glory, or will do so before he comes to this earth again.

"But," say you, "what has all this so do with the words of Christ, composing the caption to this article?" Simply this: What we have quoted from the Scriptures shows plainly that the words referred to cannot be understood in the popular sense, that is, that the words referred to cannot be understood in the popular sense, that is, that the words referred to cannot be understood in the popular sense, that is, that the words referred to cannot be understood in the popular sense, that is, that the words referred to cannot be understood in the popular sense, that is, that the words

when the Son of man shall, I will establish my orweard between me and thee, and thy seed after thee, and the seed that the seed t

An extractivene was sport and work in the longing of the trace of an extraction of the sport of

yossessed with Devils.

GHAPTER II.

I proceed to solve the several objections which have been urged against.

He proceed to solve the several objections which have been urged against.

He pregoing explication of the Gospel demoniacs. That the persons spoken of in the New Testament as demoniacs were really such, many have attempted to prove: Ist. From what was said and done by the demoniacs themselves. 2nd, From the destruction of the herd of swine, which the demoniacy are said to have entered, and stimulated to an instantaneous madness. Ving And 3rd, From the expressions used by our Saviour in performing, and his ""

me mich in the destruction of the moniacs. Under these three heads may be comprised all the objections are the doctrine advanced in the preceding chapter.

we add that, under a melancholy, the mind is always fixed on one object. The honourable testimony she hore to Paul could not be the effect of the spirit of Python or Apollo; because it tended to disparage himself and the whole tribe of heathen deities. If you say it was the devil who hore this testimony to Paul, you will find it more difficult still to account for this zeal for truth in the father of lies; because this testimony, as it would appear to proceed from one of their own gods, would have great weight with the Gentiles. No was any evil spirit constrained to hear this testimony to Paul; for the apostle was grieved at it, and in order to put a stop to it, cured her disorder (Acts xvi. 18). This brings us to examine another objection against our idea of possessions. we add that, under a melancholy, the mind is always fixed on one object. The honourable testimony she hore to

sions.

II.—It is urged that Christ commanded the devils (demons) not to discover him. This objection properly belongs to the third general argument in favour of real possessions, and is introduced here only on account of its near affinity to the preceeding article.

In order to solve this difficulty it will be necessary to recollect the idea, which

In order to solve his dinitivity it with be necessary to recollect the idea which the ancients entertained concerning demoniacs. It hath been observed already, that the demons within them were supposed to occupy the seat of the human soul, and to form all its functions in the bedy During his possession. were supposed to occupy the seat of the human soul, and to form all its functions in the body. During his possession, the demoniac himself was slent; it was the demon alone that spoke in him. Whatever was done by the former was attributed to the impulse of the latter. Demoniacs were not only regarded by others, but generally conceived of themselves, as speaking and acting under the influence of the spirits by which they believed themselves possessed, or as being those very spirits. At least, everything they said and did corresponded to their apprehensions of the sentiments and inclinations of the indwelling demons, being themselves indeed believed, internatives potentially of example production of the control and discreption of cellular and experting decampally control production of the control apprehensions of the control ap

will be shewn below in the third section) commonded demons to come out; he to might, upon the same principle, command them to be stient. Nor was any thing more likely to awe the demoniac himself into immediate silence, than his hearing such a command delivered to the spirits that (in his opinion) actuated him, by one so famed as Jesus was for the power of controlling them. The larguage was adapted to his apid on proper to speak according to his conceptions, and in the manner most likely to be attended with success? Indeed, i. if Christ had addressed the demoniac, and the disturbed state of the st

viour of the devil (if he was the demon here spoken of), when Christ ordered him to come out of the man, of whom he had taken possession. One moment he disclaims the authority of Jesus, and resents his undertaking to eject him. What have I to do with thee, thou Son of the Most High God? The very next instant he acknowledges his power over him, and entreats him not to exert it. I beseech thee, I adjure thee by God, that thou torment me not. Immediately after he upbraids and reproaches him, and at the same time appears to expect some effects of his displeasure: Art thou come hither to torment un before the time? He hath recourse again to supplication, che upbraids and reproaches him, and at et et the same time appears to expect some l'effects of his displeasure: Art thou y come hither to torment us before the time? He hath recourse again to supplication, and beseeches Christ, that he might not be sent away out of the country, nor combo some and the area of the devil, we are told, the whole world is tout one place. Why then doth he dread if a temporary banishment from so small y a spot as the country of Gadara? Why is he so uneasy at being cast out of one man, if he hath the power of entering another? Why doth he fear being sent into the deep or abyss? Whatever idea he had of this place, he deprecates an evil he had not been threatened, he could not hope to avert by his intercession, especially if he had asked, as some suppose he did, for leave to continue in that country, only for the sake of opposing Christ? What is still more extraordinary, while under a dread of Christ, as his judge and avenger, who could have remanded him to the abyss for his past crimes, he asks for leave to do further mischief, and to enter into a large herd of swine; desirous, it is pretended, to bring an odium upon Jesus, as the author of the mischief. Could the devil expect such a request to be granted? Lastly, when he had procured for himself and his associates the quarters he desired, why did he, by destroying the swine, lay himself and the legion under the necessity of seeking new ones, which, he acknowledges were not to be had in that country without the consent of our Saviour? Nay, he seems to have asked leave to enter the swine with a further view, viz., to prevent his being sent immediately to the place of punishment. How absurd then was it to willfully expose himself to the evil he deprecated, by drowning the whole herd? Would you, if you were in your senses, pull down your house in which, you wished and were allowed to live, if you knew that whenever it was destroyed, you should for some past crime be confined in a dreadful dun-

in such cases) personated the demon by whom he thought himself inspired, and spoke as if he was himself that very thought as it has a such as the company, at the head of which was Jesus, the demoniac, then in the height of his disorder, and to them, as Jesus, the demoniac, then in the height of his disorder, and to them, as Jesus, the demoniac, then in the height of his disorder, and to them, as Jesus, the demoniac, then in the height of his was exteeding force so that no man the head of the man, thou suchan spire! (Mark 19, Link 19, Lin

# The Good News.

"Fear not: for, behold I bring you good tidings of great joy, which shall be to all people."

VOL. II.

BELLEVILLE, ONT., 1888

For a not: for, beliefed I bring you good civings of grout joy, which shall be to all people."

Not 19

For a real stream of the property of t

It was a just punishment of the t. It was a just punishment of the owners. For though Josephus calls Gadara (near which this miracle was wrought) a great city, and it was a part of the province of Syria; yet during the reign of Herod, it had belonged to Judea, on which country it bordered, and was no doubt in part inhabited by these who profibly owned the swine. fudea, on which country it bordered, and was no doubt in part inhabited by fews, who probably owned the swine; for to shart people Christ's personal ministry as confined, and on their territory he then stood. Now, the Jews were prohibited by the laws of Hyrcanus from keeping swine, and by the law of Moses from partaking of them as food. Their breach of the former naturally led to the violation of the latter. Our Lord, though he declined acting as a magistrate, yet, as a prophet, he might be commissioned by God to punish the commissioned by God to punish the commissioned by God to punish the griph of their offence, as they yet not subject to the jurisdiction of the Jewish Sanhedrim, being under heathen government. The disposition they discovered upon this occasion in being more impressed with the loss of their substance than with the miracle wrought for their conviction, shows how well they deserved correction; as the miracle itself served to manifest Christ's own regard to the law of God.

SECTION 11 (b).

### SECTION II (b).

2. The destruction of the swine served to ascertain the reality, and to spread the fame of the miracle performed upon the demoniacs. No miracle is more suspicious than the supposed expulsion of demons, abstracted from the cure of bodily disorders, there being much room left for collusion between the present maximal.

tended with some extraordinary and affecting circumstances; such as would necessarily excite the attention of mankind, and naturally lead them to an inquiry into the character of Christ, and thus prepare them to receive the subsequent preaching of his apostles. Most highly beneficial to them was this miracle, if their temporal loss was the means of their gaining everlasting blessings.

and the property of their graining everlasting blessings.

3. The miracle performed upon the swine was calculated to correct the false notions concerning the power of demons, which were entertained in that age, as well by Jews as by Gentiles, by both of whom Gadara was inhabited. Very far were either of them from wanting (what some have supposed it was the express design of Christ on this occasion to give them) proofs of the great power of demony over mankind. The belief of their power was already too deeply rooted in the minds of men, and was the ground of much idolative and superstition.

Let us examine then the nature of the miracle in question, and see whether it contains any proof of the power of demons. The outward and sensible effect, which was all that could be designed for the conviction of mankind, consists in transferring the disorder of the demoniacs to the swine; or rather in the swine becoming mad, immediately after the men were cured. Now, upon what ground do you affirm that this effect was produced by demons? If they had any concern in it, it was by soliciting the interposal of Christ; which looks like an acknowledgment of their own impotence. It took place at the command of Christ; to him thered soliciting the interposal of Christ; e which looks like an acknowledgment of their own impotence. It took place at e the command of Christ: to him therestore, and to that divine power by which is he acted, it is most natural to refer it. It You allow that God was the author of the miracles performed upon the demoniacs. The history ascribed them, to him in the most express terms, calling them great things which God had; done for the demoniacs; not things which he permitted the devil to do for them. Now, the history will no more allow you to doubt of God's being the author of the disorder of the swine, than of the cure of the demoniacs; for, by the same sovereign word, Go, both these miracles were accomplished. You cannot therefore ascribe them to different causes. That the madness of the swine was not owing to a demonia cal agency, is farther evident from hence that their disorder terminated in their destruction; an event which it nemoe that their disorder terminated in their destruction; an event which it was the interest of the demons to use all possible means to prevent; because, according to (what is deemed) their own conceptions of things, it exposed own conceptions of things, it exposed them to some terrible punishment. Now, since it clearly appears that the madness of the swine was not owing to the expose of demons, is it not a madness of the swine was not owing to the agency of demons, is it not a natural inference from hence, that the madness of the demoniacs was not owing to that cause; that the request in particular made in the name of the demons to enter the herd of swine, and consequently all the other parts of their conversation with Christ did not proceed from a demoniacal influence? We shall hereafter have occasion to consider the language in which the evangelists describe the cure of the demoniacs, and the disorder of the swine; we only observe here, that the facts themselves are referred to God. we only observe here, that the themselves are referred to God.

which the Gadarenes sustained prevented both Jews and Gentiles in those parts from applying to Christ merely for the temporal benefit of his miratcles, which was not their proper intention, and could uot be dispensed to the Gentiles without innereasing the prejudices of the Jews. At the same time, the behaviour of the Gadarenes, in intreating Christ to depart out of their coasts, serves to show how ill-disposed they were at that time to receive the spiritual blessings of the Gospel; which is a fatther vindication of the conduct of Christ in declining all familiarity with them. With respect to the Jews, this miracle, which was performed during the most public part of Christ's ministry, and while his popularity was at its greatest height, was useful or necessary to strike an awe upon their minds, to prevent their raising tumulist in his favr (as they were inclined to do), and their following him merely from worldly motives. The intention of this work in some degree corresponded to the miraculous punishment of Annaias and Sapphira, which created a mighty reverence of the Apossles, and prevented unbelievers from joining themselves to the Christian church, in order to share in its charitable donations (Acts v. 11, 13).

Lastly, though the Gospel, considered as a dispensation of mercy, was with great propriety confirmed chiefly by miracles of mercy; yet it was necessary there should be some examples of severity, to check the presumption of mankind in all ages, and to warn

cessary there should be some examples of severity, to check the presumption of mankind in all ages, and to warn them of the danger of rejecting a divine prophet, who, though he eminently appeared as the messenger of God's love, was also appointed the minister

ly appeared as the messenger of Gods love, was also appointed the minister of his justice.

Indeed, the destruction of the swine in so very extraordinary a manner, could scarce fail rousing the spectators of this miracle, and the numerous sufterers by it into thought and reflection, however lost they might be to more ingenuous motives. The fact was of such a nature as to alarm the attention of the very enemies of Christ, and to such a nature as to alarm the attention of the very enemies of Christ, and to provoke their inquiry into the evidence of it; for it carried with it the face of inquiry and injustice towards the owners of the swine, and consequently furnished them with a seeming objection against Jesus. A strict inquiry must soon convince them of the fact; and the fact itself, when naturally reflected upon, pointed out its author, God, who hath a right to dispose of the lives of his creatures. The life of the swine was of no importance compared with the conviction and spiritual instruction of mankind: the greatobject which Christ had in view.

of mankind: the greatoupert wines. Christ had in view.

The most popular argument in favor of real possession is drawn from the language of Christ and his apostles, in performing and recording the cure of demoniacs, or in describing the case of

these unhappy persons.

The sacred historians, it is alleged The sacred historians, it is alleged, affirm that they brought to Jesus those that were possessed with demons, and in like manner that to the apostles they brought them that were vexed with unclean spirits. St. Luke describes the damsel at Philippi by saving that she was possessed with a spirit of divination (Python or Apollo). Demonjacs, it is from the cure of bodily disorders, there being much room left for collusion between the person imagined to be possessed and the exports. Frauds of this kind, both amongst the Jews and Gentiles, were company in that age; as they have also since been in a very exandalous degree amongst Christians. But it is self-evident, that a herd of spring could not be confederates in any fraud. Those who were strangers to the demoniacs (and such the attendants of Christ probably were) could not doubt of the reality of their dovoredry of the country in the country and the control and the control and the country men had a dagagnes, is certain from bis refuging to give the persons whom he cured leave to accompany him, and ordering them to acquaint their countrymen hap great things God had done for them.

The loss of the swine would proparate the fame, as well ag establish the country, might have been little known of remembered, had it not been at the country, might have been little known of remembered, had it not been at the country, might have been little known of remembered, had it not been at the country men had greated the demoniacs. The cure of a demoniac in this obscure part of the country, might have been little known of remembered, had it not been at the country might have been little known of the cure of a demoniac in this obscure part of the country, might have been little known of the cure of a demoniac in this obscure part of the country, and seemed backward to work the country, might have been little known of the cure of the demoniacs of the proparate the fame, as well ag establish the country, might have been little known of remembered, had it not been at brightest evidence. Now, the logs the cure of the country might have been little known of the cure of the demoniacs of the proparate the fame, as well ag establish the country and seemed backward to work th (Python or Apollo); further urged, are distinguished from the diseased: They brought unto him all that were diseased, and their that were possessed with demons. Possessions are mentioned as distinct cases upon from lunatics. The demons, it is the Messiah, and (Python or Apollo). Demoniacs, it is further urged, are distinguished from

xvi. 9. The ejection of demons, or cure of demoniacs, is distinguished from the healing of diseases: Jesus cured many of their infirmities and plagues, and of evil spirits—Luke vii. 21. Behold! (says Christ) I cast out demons and I do cures—Luke xiii. 32. The evangelical history affirms? Jesus cast out the spirits with his word, and healed all that were sick—Matt. viii. 16. He gave the Twelve power against unclean spirits to cast them out, and to heal all manner of diseases—Matt. x. 1, 8. Accordingly they cast out many demons and healed the sick—Matt. vi. 13. When the seventy, with great exhibition, said to their Lord, Even the demons are subject to us through thy name, Jesus, with a seeming reference to this event, replied, I beheld Satana is lightning fall from heaven. He adds, Behold! I give you power to tread on serpents and scorpions, over all the power of the enemy, and nothing shall by any means hurt you. Notwithstanding in this rejoice not, that the spirits are subject unto you—Luke x, 17, 20. That I may not omit anything on which the advocates for real possessions lay any stress, Iadd that they farther plead that there are passages in the New Testament where demons cannot denote diseases of any kind. St. Mark calls the Gadarene demoniace, him that had the legion—Mark v. 15. And our Lord represents the unclean spirits as taking to him seven other spirits more wicked than himself—Luke xi. 26 Lord represents the unclean spirits, as taking to him seven other spirits more wicked than himself—Luke xi. 26. Now, how, we are asked, can one man have a legion of diseases? or, can one disease, with deliberation and design, take along with it seven others of a more grievous kind than itself?

From the several foregoing passages of the New Testament, it has been inferred that both Christ and his apostles entertained and countenanced the documents.

ferred that both Christ and his apostles entertained and countenanced the doctrine of real possessions. And if it were an error, "the inspired teachers of the Gospel," we are told, "must needs be free from an error so fatally affecting the religion they were entrusted to propagate, and ought therefore rather to have rectified that error than knowingly confirmed the people in it."

In order to answer this objection, it is not necessary to endeayour (as some

In order to answer this objection, it is not necessary to endeavour (as some have done) to explain away the language of the New Testament concerning demoniacs, or to force an unnatural construction upon it. However possible it may be, by great learning and ingenuity, to strain some of the passages cited above into a different meaning, yet, whoever candidly considers them all must allow, at least, I freely allow, that both our Saviour and his apostles made use of the common and popular language both our Saviour and his apostles madi-use of the common and popular language of the age and country in which they lived on the subject before us, and that this language was originally founded on the supposition of the reality of de-moniacal possessions. But the question still to be decided is, whether merely by using this language, they gave their sanc-tion to the opinion to which it owes its rise.

We have already seen that neither We have already seen that neither Christ nor his apostles first introduced into the world the dectrine of possessions or the language that expressed it. If they are liable to censure, therefore, it is only for not departing from the accustomed modes of speaking on this subject, for not forming a new language concerning it. We have likewise seen that they never assert the doctrine of possessson, but on the contrary entirely subvert it, when they were immediately commissioned and instructions. immediately commissioned and instructed to teach the world. They contradict themselves, therefore, if by using the common language with respect to demoniacs they meant to countenance the opinion on which it was first ground.

portant to be here omitted.

We call a certain distemper lunacy, without enquiring what influence the moon hath in causing or increasing it; nay, though we should believe, as many do, that the moon hath no influence upon it. In like manner we still speak of St, Anthony's fire and of St. Vitus's dance, without acknowledging the power or existence of the saints. When we complain of the hag or night-mare, which is the name of a real disorder, resembling the pressure of some weight upon the breast, imputed to a hag or incubus, do we not follow custom without approving the hypothesis? Philiposophers speak of the motion and path of the sun, though they know it never changes its place; they say that it is in the spring, in the constellation of the Ram (where it was in the time of Hipparohus, upwards of two thousand years ago), notwithstanding their allowing that the constellations have all changed the places assigned them by the ancient astronomers; and that now the sun is in the spring in that part of the heavens where the constellation of the Bull is situated. So difficult is it to recede from those ways of speaking which were introduced by the ancients, even after the reason of them ceases. They are retained, notwithstanding their acknowledged impropriety. Our best philosophers still use the common language concerning the rising and setting of the sun, though they know it is founded on severe different though they know it is founded on severe different though they know it is founded on severe different though they know it is founded on the severe different though they know it is founded on the sun that now it is founded on the severe different though they know it is founded on the sun that now it is founded and the sun that now it is founded and the sun that now it is founded and the sun that now it is such as the sun that now it is now it is not t guage concerning the rising and setting of the sun, though they know it is founded on error and prejudice. They call that an eclipse of the sun, which

guage concerning the rising and setting of the sun, though they know it is founded on error and prejudice. They call that an eclipse of the sun, which they are very sensible, is properly an eclipse of the earth.

The prophets of God, also, as well as as the professors of science, when they speak upon points of philosophy, adopt the erroneous. Our Saylour says, "God maketh his sun to rise," and the Psalmitist represents this luminary, which is fixed in the centre of our system, as running a race—Ps. xix. 5, 6. When we read, in Scripture, that the sun knoweth his going down, and that God laid the foundations of the earth, we taknow that the expressions are papelar only, and far from being agreeable to true philosophy. Calvin makes the same observation on that passage office to true philosophy. Calvin makes the earth or the world) upon the seas, and established it upon the floods. No are these the only instances that may be mentioned. The dew was though by the ancients always to descend from the seast had the hearth or the world) upon the seas, and the seast had a called the dew of heaven, and represented as falling on the ground, a tropping from the clouds and the hearth earth and the plants. The manna, with which the Israelite were fed in the wilderness, though at tended with several miraculous circum stances, doth not appear to have been of a different nature from our money and the plants are considered in the wilderness, though at tended with several miraculous circum stances, doth not appear to have been of a different nature from our money manna, which is only and the plants are considered to the plants are considered from the remaining the same conditions of the plants are considered from the control of the conditions of the stances, doth not appear to have beet of a different nature from ou modern manna, which is only an exudation from plants an trees. But as manna was till late thought like the dew, to fall fron above, the Scripture says, When the dew fell in the night, the manna fel upon it. So that even in relating miracles the sacred writers make use o popular expressions on whatever hypo propular expressions on whatever hypo Jupon it. So that even in relating miracles the sacred writers make use of popular expressions, on whatever hypothesis they were built. In illustrating their doctrine, also, their expressions at accompodated to the general apprehensions of men and appearances of things Except a corn of wheat fall into the ground and file, says our Saviour, it abideth alone; but if it die it bringed forth much fruit. St. Paul, likewise uses similar language: That which thou sowest is not quickened except it forth, and is a minute insensible principle contained within the outward grain, doth not die. When this is killed by an accident, it never rises, Nevertheless, the outward part or the body of the grain is corrupted and dissolved in the earth, and this corruption or death (as it is called) of the outward part of grain is necessary to make way for the production of the new corn from from the production of the new corn from the production of the new corn from the new co demoniacs they meant to countenance the opinion on which it was first grounded. This alone might satisfy us, that they did not, and could not, design to give their sanction to that opinion. Nevertheless, great stress being laid by many on the argument in favor of possessions, drawn from the language of the New Testament concerning them, I will offer some farther observations upon this subject, by which, I hope, it will appear, to impartial persons, that merely from the manner in which they speak of reputed demoniacs; it cannot be inferred that they intended to assert the reality of demoniacal possession.

1. It is customary with all sorts of persons, with the sacred writers in particular, and our Saviour Himself, to speak on many subjects in the language of the vulgar, though known and admitted to have been originally grounded on a false philosophy. This obserBut those already produced are sufficient to show that the prophets of God make frequent use of the vulgar phrase-ology, though originally grounded on a mistaken hypothesis. Nor do they hereby countenance that hypothesis, or make themselves at all answerable for its truth or falsehood, any more that the adopts vulgar expressions, and says, the dew falls, the sun rises, sets, or is clipsed. The most accurate authors, it is universally known, do not in either of their writings or ordinary conversation always speak according to strict philosophical truth. Now, if there are some undennable instances in physics, in which the prophets of God, without incurring any kind of blame, employ the common language, though built on a false opinion, this may be the case in other instances. Nay, this most probably, is the case universally, when they speak on subjects of natural knowledge. It doth not appear that they did on any occasion frame a new language in order to express themselves in perfect conformity to true philosophy. Why, then, might they not adopt the common language with respect to possession, considered as the cause of a bodily disorder? Why should it betaken for granted that they express themselves with a philosophical exact, tess on this subject when they neglect it on others? You can no more infer their belief of possession from their sounds and demons or a single proposed on the subject when they neglect it not others? You can no more infer their belief of possession from their sounds and demons or a single proposed on the subject when they neglect it not others? You can no more infer their belief of possession from their sounds and demons or a single proposed on the proposed of the control of the proposed it on others? You can no more infer their belief of possession from their saying that some bad demons, or a spirit of Apollo, than you can learn a man's system of philosophy from his saying that his friend hath St. Anthony's fire, or from his affirming that the sun rices and sets every day. rises and sets every day.

## THE GUOD NEWS.

BELLEVILLE, ONT., 1888.

We have received from Mrs. Carrie Williams, her book, containing 140 pages, entitled "The Heir of all Phings. Whose Son is He? Why Did He Die? What Did His Death Accomplish?" The above from the title page indicates the character of the work, which is written in her usual off-hand style and is well worth perusal. Price 25 cents to be had from the authoress, San

Some time ago we received from Bro. L. C. Ihomas, Dover, Delaware, a pamphlet of 48 pages, entitled "The House of Many Mansions." This is a work that should be in the hands of it would only prove the exception to the all who are anxious to know the truth rule about the good things of the gospel. In this treatise the author not only gives a good exposition of the text from which he takes the title of his pamphlet, but also shows in connection with that subject the Bible view of the gospel and its connection with the kingdom of God; which he shows is to be on the earth, the inheritance of the saints. It is just the thing for general circulation. Price 15 cents, to be had of the author.

Some months ago, Joseph Bland, Coventry Street, Kidderminster, England, sent us a pamphlet containing his lecture entitled "The Land Question: Its Ultimate Settlement; or Human Aims and Divine Intentions."

We read it to our little church here last Sunday (Jan., 29th, '88), and it was so well appreciated that the brethren concluded to order at once several copies for general circulation. The land question in Ireland is the basis of the lecture, and the author shows from a Bible standpoint how the difficulty will be solved in connection with the Kingdom of God. Price 5 cents. Brother Bland has also published others lectures entitled the Keys of Hell; Living Again; The Soul; What is it? also "The resurrection of Christ, and its bearing upon the question of a future life." Price 5 cents each.

tian is to be able to deliver audi-bly and extemporaneously a long-finely-pointed prayer, no matter how many "yain repetitions" it may con-tain, or how little the person may know or believe the real faith which is in Christ Jesus; which is "the righteous-ness of God," "unto all and upon all" them that believe." -- Rom. iii. 20-22, 28; Tim. 111. 15.

Any person who has paid strict at-tention to the bearing of Scripture on the subject of prayer will have noticed that mention of meetings appointed for that mention of meetings appointed for the purpose of promiscuous prayer is very rare indeed. In fact the writer is not aware of a single pointed instance in the whole Bible where meetings were previously appointed by Christians simply for the purpose of prayer, as is done in modern times. Ot course we are arranged to the carry of the carry disciples casions several of the early disciples were together in one place engaged in prayer, but we are not told that in these instances the meetings were previously appointed or agreed upon for that purpose.

During the time Herod and Peter in prison, "prayer was made without ceas-ing of the church unto God for him," and when the angel released him from prison "he came to the house of Mary the mother of John \* \* where many were gathered together praying."—Acts xii. 5, 12. We are not told that these disciples were gathered together for the ex-press purpose of praying, but if we were

Nevertheless it might be possible that those gathered together on that oocasion came together for the specific purpose of pray-ing, but if so, it is evident that it was for the purpose of offering up a united prayer to God for the deliverance of Peter from prison. But this is quite Peter from prison. But this is quite different from the purposeless prayer meetings usually held in this 19th century. Many prayer meetings held in these days are for the purpose of getting up a "feeling," as it is called. In the first century the people got the feeling first and did the praying afterwards.

The early disciples did not hold protracted prayer meetings for the purpose of converting inmortal souls in order that said souls might be saved from eternal torment. Instead of this they went about preaching the word," "the gospel," the good news of the coming of God's Kingdom, in order that people (mortal souls) might believe and be saved therein, For proof of this see—Mark i. 4; Matt. iv, 17-23; vi. 33; ix. 35; xiii. 19; Luke iv, 43; ix. 1, 2, 11; viii. 1; xiii. 28; Acts viii. 12-25; xix.8-10; xxviiii. 30; 31; xxvi. 6, 7; xx. 25; Dan. ii 44; vii. 13-27. Jesus told his apostles to preach this "Gospel to every creature" (person), and added: "He that believeth [vi] and is baptized shall be saved; but he that believeth not shall be damned "(condemned).—Mark xvi. 15; 16. In those days it was "the Scriptures that were able to make" men "wise unto salvation through faith which is in Christ Jesus."—2 Tim. iii. 15. It was necessary to have some faith provider of the Scriptures and faith provider of the Scriptures. The early disciples did not hold pro 15. It was necessary to have some knowledge of the Scriptures and faith in what they taught in order to be saved (verse 14).

praystanding in the synagogue and in the corners of the streets, that they may be seen of men. Verily I say unto you, They have their reward. But thou when thou prayest enter into thy closet, and when thou hast shut the door, pray to thy Father which is in secret; and thy Father which seeth in secret shall reward thee openly."—Matt. vi. 5, 6.

This is plain and to the point, Is not this, which Christ condemns, just precisely what we see practised in modern times by those who are called Christians? Do we not see them make long and loud prayers before men,

long and loud prayers before men, sometimes on the street corners and sometimes in their synagogues, and do they not seem to love to do it in this way instead of in private so as to be heard by Him only who is able to answer prayer. If people would pray in private, it would show that they had no desire to exhibit their godliness to the world at large, when by so doing they are simply demonstrating that their righteousness consists in being self-righteous. If we are really righteous in the sight of God it will not be ne in the sight of coal it will not be increased to call the attention of the world to it by blowing a trumpet in the shape of long, loud and public prayer and thanksgiving. If we are really "the salt of the earth" it will be seen and known of men without calling their

attention to it through exhibiting our sanctimoulous qualities to the world through public praying.

All the prayers of holy men recorded in the Bible are very short, taking usually from five seconds to about five minutes to utter them. Christ said, "When ye pray, use not vain repetitions, as the Gentiles do: for they think they shall be heard for their much speaking. Be not ye therefore like unto them: for your Father knoweth what things ye have need of before the things ye have need of before ye ask him."—Matt. vi. 7, 8. Ves, so it is; the Gentiles of to-day, those of the Churches, are very much addicted to vain repetitions and seem to think. judging from the length of their prayers in many cases, that "they shall be heard for their much speaking." So they are heard, and likewise have "their eward." They are heard of men, and also have their reward of men and women. The reward they receive consists in the honor they get from their fellows—not from God.

their fellows—not from God.

People as a rule pray at certain set times because they think it is a duty, not that they really desire some particular favor of God for which they are justified in asking. We believe that prayer should be spontaneous and impromptu; that people should pray secretly to God whenever and wherever the occasion makes it necessary. This would do away with the absurdity of set times and stereotyped prayers. If we pray privately to God when the occasion and stereotyped prayers. If we pray privately to God when the occasion makes it necessary, we will then be apt makes it necessary, we will then be apt to pray in simplicity, and our pleadings will no doubt be more earnest and pure than if we prayed before men, and them not our brethren in Christ. It is not always necessary to enter a closet in order to pray secretly. Privacy seems to be the thing required, and we should "speak (or pray) from the abundance of the heart."—Luke vi. 45.

We have instances of spontaneous prayer mentioned several places in the Bible. The thief on prayed at all; in fact it is to some extent evident from the cross prayed Christ, "Save me when thou comest into thy king-did not pray at all on that occasion.

Instead of people being converted at prayer meetings, neither Christ nor the apostles scarcely ever prayed in the presence of the unconverted. Christ, instead of praying in the presence of the multitudes "sent them away, then he went up into a mountain apart to pray; and when the evaluant again the did not even take his disciples with him.—Matt. xiv. 23. On another occasion.—Matt. xiv. 23. On another occasion.—Matt. xiv. 23. On another occasion.—Matt. xiv. 23. On another occasion in the second time and prayed."—verse e. 2. "And in the morning, rising up a great while before day, he went out, and departed into a soldierly place, and the reprayed."—Mark i. 35; see also Luke v. 16; ix. 18.

It is evdent from these passages and from what he said to his disciples with that the form these of God only. Christ said to his disciples, it was not more than the sear of God only. Christ said to his disciples with the door, pray of the seen of the streets, that they may be seen of men. Verily I say unto you, They have their reward. But thou when thou prayest.—Into when thou prayest, and when they have their reward. But thou when thou prayest and when they have their reward. But thou when thou prayest and when they have their reward. But thou when thou prayest and when the which is in secret; and who to they father the and when they have their reward. But thou when thou prayest term into the color of the screen of his day or day the color of the screen of the screen of the streets, that they may be seen of men. Verily I say unto you. They have their reward. But thou when thou prayest term into the color of the screen of the streets, that they may be seen of men. Verily I say unto you. They have their reward. But thou when thou prayest enter into the closed and and short prayers and when they have their reward. But thou when thou prayest term into the closed and a good deal to tell when they are the reward. But thou when thou prayest term into the closed of the same prayers and the prayers to be answerded. Christ and prayers a to nothing in comparison with the humble and short petition, "God be merciful to me a sinner," uttered by the publican.—Luke xviii. 9-14.

Although long prayers are not Although long prayers are not ne cessary, sometimes frequent pleadings are, as shown by Christ in the parable of the importunate widow.—See Luke xviii. 1-8—especially ver. 5. This woman came so often with her pleadings to the unjust judge that he finally gave way, "And shall not God avenge the control of gave way, "And shall not God avenge his own elect, which cry day and night unto him, though he bear long with them." By our frequent pleading for any favor, we show our intense desire for the object of our prayer to be bestowed upon us.

Of course we do not think it wrong for brethren of Christ, "when they come together into one place," to pray audibly as occasion may require we do think it out of harmony with the words of Christ to pray audibly in pub-lic gatherings. We think it more in ac words of Christ to pray audibly in Jud-ific gatherings. We think it more in ac-cordance with the spirit of Christ to refrain from public prayer even when a brother is giving, or about to deliver, a lecture in the interests of the one faith. 'The lecturer can ask God's as-sistance and guidance privately before commencing his lecture, and he can return thanks in the same manner at the conclusion, or shortly after. We the prayer and communion with God sacred a thing to be dragged in mud and infe of an audience of We have Christ as our ex ample in this, and so far as we can Apostles are in harmony with Him

ample in this, and so far as we can see the Apostles are in harmony with Him. In the following places it is recorded that the early disciples prayed collectively:—Acts iv. 24-31; xii. 12; xiii. 3; xx. 36; xxi. 5. It will be seen by reading the context in each instance that these were special and rare occasions; not being common practice; nor is it apparent that these meretings were appointed for the purpose of prayer before hand, as is done by many of the popular churches in these days. There was "the hour of prayer"—(Acts iii. 1) under the Mosaic ministry and so were there many other things instituted then that were not to be practised by Christians in the present dispensation, although it is quite true that some of the Christians in the first century did for some time hang onto a portion of the "shadow of things to come."

Paul and Silas, when at Philippin.

Paul and Silas, when at Philippi, went "on the Sabbath" "out of the city by a river-side where prayer was wont to be made; and sat down and spake unto the women which resorted thither."—Acts xvi. 13. It is evident from the next verse that Paul went to from the next verse that Paul went to this resort, not to pray, but to enlighten the people: not to assist at a prayer-meeting; for we learn that "a certain woman named Lydia \* \* which were spoken of Paul," and "was baptized and her household." It is not stated that Paul and Silas engaged in prayer there, but verse 16 intimates that they afterwards "went to prayer." We are not told either where or in what wanner they prayed, or even if they

xi. 24. We "must ask in faith, nothing wav-

We "must ask in faith, nothing wavering."—Jas. i. 6.

It is the opinion of the writer that the great mass of prayer is merely a matter of form without the least expectation that the prayers will be answered in one case out of a thousand. In fact in most cases it would be a great surprise to those who pray, if their prayers were answered. It is best first, to feel that we need a thing; second, to ask ourselves if it is right and according to the the teachings of the Biblic cording to the the teachings of the Bible that we should have it; third, if right that we should have it, to see if we can acquire it by our own exertions, and if not, to ask God to grant it.

What would any parent think of a

child that would continually ask for the thing which the child could possess by stretching out the arm?

stretching out the arm?

It is not necessary to appoint any special day or time for giving thanks, for we have plenty to thank God for at all times. The national Thanksgiving day is another mockery of the world, considering the way it is observed. It is the world's set time for giving thanks in account.

is observed. It is the world's set time for giving thanks in various ways, some of which are very ungodly.

From what we have deduced it is evident that it is not necessary to have a church or synagogue in which to pray. Paul says, "I will therefore that men pray in every place (R.V.) lifting up holy hands without doubting.—Time 11, 8. In praying we should be humble and devoted.—Luke 18:9-14—EDITOR,

## Future of the Jewish Nation.

And say unto them, thus saith the And say unto them, thus saith the Lord God: Behold, I will take the children of Israel from among the heathen (Gentiles), whither they be gone, and will gather them on every side, and bring them into their own land: AND I WILL MAKE THEM ONE, NATION in the land upon the emountains of Israel; and ONE KING (Jesus) shall be king to them all; and they shall be no more two nations, neither shall be no more two nations, neither shall they be divided into two kingdoms any more at all.—Ezek. xxxvii. 21, 22.

Subscriptions received for The Good News, Vol. 2:—2 reviously asknowledged, \$90; Jas. Riddle, \$1; P. DeGeer, \$1; J. McKewon, \$1; Mr. Adams, \$1; Wm. Dafoe, \$1; J. E. Lent, \$6; F. Wilkins, \$1; P. Chase, \$1; G. A. Skunner, \$1; Mrs. C. Lee, \$1; J. M. Fike, \$1; C. L. Clark, \$1; T. Walker, \$1; M. A. McLeod, \$1. Deficit for Vol. 1, (1886), \$29.50; T. Walker, \$50.; M. A. McLeod, \$0.50; Mrs. Smith 500.; remaining deficit for Vol. 1, \$28.

There are a few of our subscribers who have not yet sent in the amount of subscrip-tion for Yol. 2. We wish they would remit the amount at once, if convenient, as we wish to give a statement of the financial standing of our paper in next issue. Please do not pverlook this.

"The Gospel of Chaist Nullified by the Tradition of the Churches," being an appeal to thinking people, by W. Brittle. I can aupply the above at 15 cents each. A. Rous 1830 F. Bookseller, Belleville Ont., Canada.

## Christianity a Failure,

COMPARED TO THE RELIGION OF MA-

At the recent English Charch congress Rev. Isaac Taylor, dean of York, threw a large bucket of cold water on the scheme of extending religious work in Southern Asia and Africa by declaring that Islamism as a missionary religion is more successful than Christianity, and that all efforts to convert Moham-medans have tailed. In his address he showed that according the census re ports the Mohammedan population of India was increasing at the rate of over half a million a year, while the converts to Christianity amounted to only one-tenth of that number. He also stated that four-fifths of the converts became Roman Catholics. He then shewed that the missionary societies of the country used \$2,500,000 per year in carrying on their work, while the Mohammedan missionaries are self-supporting. Christian missions in other heathep lands were even more costly, and productive of smaller results. Thus, in Western Africa it required \$65,000 and 300 European and native preachers to convert 306 adult natives. In one year \$60,000 was expended in supporting missionaries in Palestine, Persia, Arabia and Egypt, and the result was the bia and Egypt, and the result was the ba and Egypt, and the result was the conversion of one weak-nunded girl, supported in an orphan asylum at Jerusalem. In Ceylon, during last year, 374 missionaries and teachers were supported at an expense of more than \$50,000, and 307 converts were reported. It was also affirmed that quite a large proportion of those reported as converts were the wives and children of native preachers and teachers, who were supported by missionary societies. Canon Taylor's statements have been replied to by several persons who have Canon Taylor's statements have been replied to by several persons who have resided in Asia and Africa. It has apparently been shown that while the Mohammedan population of India has increased 10 per cent; during ten years the Christian population has gained nearly 20 per cent. The fact, however, remains undisputed that the Mohammedan sundisputed the sundisputed th medans make about a thousand converts for every one made by Christians. It is also admitted that the Christian It is also admitted that the Christian converts come from the poorest classes of the native population. That Christianity has been of great value to Islamism in making converts in India seems obvious. It broke up many barbarous practices of the Hindoo creed, abolished the suttee and brought the gregoriting of idels with discount. veneration of idols into disrepute. As the natives lost their regard for the old religion they were ready to adopt another. The religion of the prophet of Mccca called for fewer changes of habit than did Christianity. It allows the Hindoo convert to retain four wives and as many concubines as he wishes. It is comparatively simple and easy to

anderstand.

A convert is not required to pass an examination on the thirty-nine articles of the Episcopal Church before he can be confirmed, or to understand the system of theology as presented by Church He is only required to acceptable that "there is but one God and Mohammed is his prophet," to be the Koran, to repeat certain prayers, to tell the truth, and to abstain from the use of alcohol. Throughout contern Asia and Africa the convert of "Johammedism immediately finds himself in the midst of many friends.

Concerning Gen. ii. 17.

LA FAVETTE NORRIS

A few years ago, in a discussion with Eld. P. W. Hough, I made the assertion that the Heb. preposition translated "in," in Gen. ii. 17, could properly be translated "after." But a could not at that time give my authority for that statement. I afterwards found that Storrs was my authority. Below, I give an extract from Bro. Grant's letters from Ireland, in which he fully justifies me in my statement. I have long been satisfied that you cannot prove, or disprove a doctrine by a single preposition. Most prepositions have more than one meaning.

I believe the readers of the Heral. b

tions in and after. After the day that thou eatest thereof thon shalt suiely die, makes just as good sense as in the day. In Num. xxviii. 26 the Hebrew preposition be is correctly and necessarily rendered both in and after, as follows: 'Also in the day of the first fruits, when ye bring a new meat offering unto the Lord, after your weeks be out ye shall have a holy convocation; ye shall do no servile work.' It would not make sense to say 'in your weeks be out.' The sense requires that both in and after should be used in this verse. In Gen. ii. 11 and Num. xviii. 26, the words in the day' are a correct translation of the Hebrew words be yom; and it would be just as proper to render each after the day, provided the word after expresses the correct idea. Gen each after the day, provided the word after expresses the correct idea. Gesenjus, the noted Hebrew lexicographer says: 'Where the mind rests more on the end of a pernod, and spoken therefore of time already past, the preposition be should be rendered after.' This is just the case in Gen. ii. 17. The Creator was calling the attention of Adam to the fact that death must follow if he ate of the forbidden tree; not that correct idea. Adam to the fact that death mast forms if he ate of the forbidden tree; not that he should die in the day he ate; for, when explaining the penalty he says: ne should die in the day he ate; 10f, when explaining the penalty he says: In the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread, iiil thou return unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken; for dust thou art, and unto dust shalt thou re-

.' Gen. iii. 19.
As the translators understood that the death mentioned in Gen. ii. 17 was a moral one, which took place the day Adam disobeyed and became a sinner. it was quite natural that they should render the passage 'in the day,' instead of 'after the day,' but the latter correspondswith all the facts in the case."

—Herald of Life.

### Just to the Point.

The following extract is from an article which appeard in the RESTITUTION of quite recent date, from the pen of Bro J. F. Wagoner of Floral City, Florida. It is, as we think, just to the point respecting our preaching as touching upon the subject of "Fair Chance," and as to whether we shall in this age

and as to whether we shall in this age for in the thing whereanto I sent it. The follower to Christianity, however, and it is greated for the benefit of the people of the mission station and they will be members of another race. Observation applicantly shows that a high form of civilization must precede the interaction of vivilization must precede the vivinitation of the committee of the will be received and the dead of the committee of the must shall be committed to the precede of the cannot all the committee of the cannot all the committee of the cannot all the committee of the cannot all the comm

his lite would pass and nothing be accomplished."

What has led to this false sympathy for millions that bear the same relation to God's plan that the surplus bloom of the fruit tree bears to the fully developed and perfect fruit that golden autumn pours into the lap of the husbandman? What would you think of the orchardist who wasted his sympathy upon the fallen bloom and premature fruit of his trees even to the neglect of healthy vigorous fruit? Is may shock the tender snsiebilities of some to institute such as comparison when such high values have been placed upon one of the human race. One human being has been put in the balance with millions of worlds and by this unjust valuation estigated as of more value chan all these worlds. There are no such exalted views of man's worth found in the Bible. Here is God's estimate of human beings taken as nations: "Be-bold the nations are as the drop of a bucket and are counted as the small dust of the balance." As the small dust of the balance. As the small dust of the balance with a track of the distinction of the articles of the dust on the scales does not affect the true weight of the articles of the dust on the scales does not affect the true weight of the articles of the dust on the scales does not affect the true weight of the articles of the dust on the scales does not affect the true weight of the articles of the dust of the dust of the dust on the scales does not affect the true weight of the articles of the dust on the scales does not affect the true weight of the articles of the dust on the scales does not affect the true weight of the articles of the dust on the scales does not affect the true weight of the articles of the dust of the dust of the articles of the dust of the dust of the articles of the dust of the articles of the dust of the articles of the dust of the dust of the articles of the dust of the articles of the tenter such as comparison when such high values have been placed upon one of the human race. One human being has been put in the halance with millions of worlds and by this unjust valuation estingated as of more value than all these worlds. There are no such exalted views of man's worth found in the Bible. Here is God's estimate of human beings taken as nations: "Behold the nations are as the drop of a bucket and are counted as the small dust of the balance" As the small particles of the dust on the scales does not affect the true weight of the article weighed, so the loss of nations will not affect the true balance of God's plan. No wonder in view of this the Psalmist exclaims," What is man that thou art mindful of him."

Out of the great moral forest of humanty God will select the material to accomplish his purpose, and who shall dictate to him how to dispose of the surplus? This surplus of human beings is to God's plan what the scaffolding is to the building; when not needed it is taken away. And who will object to the removal of the unsightly mass? Let us hear no false sympathy for the scaffolding, it served its purpose with the architect and now let it perish.

Do not have any fears that any really

isn.

Do not have any fears that any really valuable material will be overlooked; this matter of the selecting is in the hands of God, and remember that managed the selection of the selecting is in the hands of God, and remember that managed the selection of th nands of God, and remember that material that to us would appear faultless, in the sight of God it may be full of plemishes. Never lose sight of the great truth that "the judge of all the earth will do right." The false hope of universal restoration or that God will finally bring all to salvation, weakens the yersal restoration or that God will mally bring all to salvation weakens the force of the gospel: it licenses men to say, "If I am not sayed now I shall in some coming age and now I will enlow the pleasures of sinin this life." That this is its tendency the writer has good

reason to believe.

The destruction of whole nations will no more interfere with God's pur-pose to fill the earth with his glory than the rejection of a single individual now of the offer of life and salvation will affect God's purpose of selecting the rulling element for the coming kingdom. Both these grand purposes will be acrulling element for the coming kingdom. Both these grand purposes will be accomplished. In this age the gospel calls or selects individuals for rulers in the coming age; hence "in every nation he that feareth God and worketh righteous is accepted of him," but in the next age God deals with the race nationally. "But the nation and kingdom that will not serve shall utterly perish." The saved individuals of the past and the present ages will constitute the Lord's cabinet of rulers for the coming age, hence it cannot be said that this part of God's plan is a failure for it accomplishes the purpose for that this part of God's plan is a failure for it accomplishes the purpose for which it was intended. In the age to come there will be enough of the saved nations to fill the earth with the glory of God, therefore that will prove a failure, for God says my word shall not return to me void but it shall accomplish that which I please and shall prosner in the thing whereunto I sent it."
Thus the grand consummation is reached, the earth filled with God's glory, his word fulfilled, the original purpose accomplished, and God vindicated by all creatures that then enjoy the blassings of that age. The present age determents all our energies, for as we near the end the danger increases, crime is

Were it not for the title, a fashion magazine might be taken for Punch or The Budget of Fun. Yet it is from the fashion plates that the modiste plans her customers' dresses. Many who acknowledge their desire for a reformation in fashion, living in glass houses, dare not a throw stones themselves. Willing that others should make the attack, yet without the moral courage to cast off their shackles, they stand at a distance, ready to join the ranks of the victorious.

victorious.

Why not commence, good friends, with your own personal adornments? Throw aside frizzetters, which hide many a beautiful brow. Brush the hair smoothly, coil it gracefully around, and let us once more see the head as God made it. Lay aside, for better use, the yards of silk that now sweep the street, the piles of lace and ribbons, bustles, puffs, and flounces, and then observe the difference between a natural figure and a natural figure and

ONL CREATED BY FASHION

But if we tell those who are thus fet-

But if we tell those who are thus fet-tered that by independent action in this matter they can do more good than the best writers of the age could accomplish, what would be the reply? Sometining as follows:—
"Oh, bless us! We wouldn't do anything of that sort for the wprld. We should be happy to see a less ex-pensive and more simple style of dress. It would be refleshing and comforting, but we could mever take the initiative. Such a course would make us so con-I final-but we could never take the initiative. Such a course would make as sq consultant of the spicuous. No one person, even by making a martyr of herself, can effect any material reform. It must be done that a united simultaneous effort."

We have heard this reply often. We cannot but think them cowardly argu-

with much reproof and scorn. But do not many of us who belong to that class remember our grandmothers' prevailing costume. It is well to be perfectly honest when criticising the customs and habits of others, and we cannot truthfully say that the grandchildren are more absurd in their style of dress than were their grandmothers before them, although there is this in the favor of the ancient costume, the styles were more so changeable as we find at the present time. Everything new and of the latest importation was as eagerly sought after by the young. After marriage for a few years their devotion to fashion continued unabated,

AND HER LAWS W. RE OBEYED and the riper judgment rebelled.

AND HER LAWS W. RE OBEYED godliness) with good works. "Let the woman," he continues, "learn in simply in the style to be perfectly they do not reveals the sould happiness, and burdening tue. Sould hap

ness for following fashion to the very ness for following fashion to the very extreme, where ever she may lead, would it not be wise for mothers to reflect a little more earnestly on the strength of temptations that surround their young daughters, and "completely absorb the attention even of their little girls? Little girls! Where can we find them now? See children of six, eight, ten years dressed for some entertainment. Childish sports and amusements are quite beneath the notice of these

OVERDRESSED STYLISH LITTLE LADIES, now demurely taking their places for a genteel dance—not with father, mother, sisters and brothers, a home dance full of fun, all frolic and childish amuse-

or tun, all fronce and childish amusements.

If one would find little girls one of the what would be the reply? Something as follows:

"Oh, bless us! We wouldn't do anything of that sort for the world. We should be happy to see a less extended by the well of the world. We should be refreshing and comforting, but we could never take the initiative. Such a course would make us so conspicuous. No one person, even by making a martyr of herself, can effect wany material reform. It must be done by a united simultaneous effort."

We have heard this reply often. We cannot but think them cowardly arguments, and, pardon us, not truthful. Had all reformers reasoned thus, what would now be the condition of the civilised world?

FASHION IS A TYRANT and until women have strength of mind to defy her and henceforth be governed by trut gaste, there will be no release from her absurdities. The old look upon the vagaries of modern fashion with much reproof and scorn. But do not many of us who belong to that class remember our grandmothers' prevailing cost une. It is well to be perfectly hones; when criticising the customs and habits of others, and we cannot tunty.

## The Good News.

"Fear not: for, behold I bring you good tidings of great joy, which shall be to all people."

BELLEVILLE, ONT., 1888.

Perm note: for, belond I bring you good (dime of greet) joy, which shall be too all people."

Note: The control of the control

which is consistent or the control of the control o

the in mi

THE GOOD NEWS.

THE CAPTURE SHOWS A STATE OF THE CAPTURE SHOWS AND THE CAPTURE SHOWS AND